

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,269	09/17/2003	Andy Van Brocklin	200210056-1	3420
22879 7590 08/21/2007 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			TSO, EDWARD H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TOKT COLLII	10, 00 00027 2100		2838	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/664,269	BROCKLIN
Office Action Summary Examiner	Art Unit
Edward Tso	2838
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	i,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a	reply be timely filed
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI	NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute; cause the application to become A	BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 June 2007.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	
12、 《京》 第二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十	
Disposition of Claims	*1
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 38-44 is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	:
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37 and 45-50</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to:	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Delouise and a CE I CO C 440	٠,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	!
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in A	Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not	received.
	:
Attachment(s)	
	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
	nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date6)  Other:	<u> </u>

Application/Control Number: 10/664,269

Art Unit: 2838

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-37 and 45-50 in the reply filed on 6/13/02007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all the claims have been examined in the past four actions so they shouldn't be restricted. This is not found persuasive because Applicant is entitled to one invention per application and a restriction can be made at any stage of examination.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 16, 32, 35, 45 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koschany (US 2004/0072041). The reference discloses, *inter alia*, a display for displaying a measured electrical charge provided by the fuel cell. See claim 16 and paragraph 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,269

Art Unit: 2838

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-15, 17-31, 33, 34, 36, 37, 46, 47, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koschany (US 2004/0072041). The reference does not specifically disclose the mathematical formulation of the resultant display. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the claimed steps, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known materiale on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number on every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom, can be reached at (571) 272-1989 on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,269

Art Unit: 2838

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (571) 272-2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By: /Edward H Tso/

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner (571) 272-2087